AVIAN CRYPTOSPORIDIOSIS: A SIGNIFICANT PARASITIC DISEASE OF PUBLIC HEALTH HAZARD
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Abstract: Cryptosporidiosis is one of the most important zoonotic parasitic diseases affecting a wide range of host species. The disease is widely distributed all over the world. Cryptosporidium species can affect different avian hosts, causing severe economic losses. The severity of avian cryptosporidiosis symptoms vary from asymptomatic disease to severe enteric and/or respiratory manifestations with high mortality. Diagnosis of Cryptosporidium infection is mainly based on microscopic detection of oocysts, serological methods, or molecular techniques to identify different Cryptosporidium species. Humans and animals are highly susceptible to infection by different Cryptosporidium species as a result of the ingestion of contaminated food and water by oocysts or direct contact with infected hosts. Different prevention and control strategies have been applied either in the surrounding environment or for the infected animals, birds, and humans. Therefore, this review article was designed to shed light on avian cryptosporidiosis species and its distribution, susceptibility and infection, clinical pictures, laboratory diagnosis, zoonotic importance in humans, and prevention and control strategies.

Key words: avian; control; Cryptosporidium; diagnosis; human

Introduction

Cryptosporidiosis is a zoonotic enteric parasitic disease affecting humans, mammals, birds, and fish (1, 2). Globally, cryptosporidiosis is ranked fifth among the 24 most important foodborne parasites (3-5). Avian species could be infected with Cryptosporidium parasite with variable mortality and morbidity rates, causing great economic losses (6-10). The most important pathogenic species of Cryptosporidium that affect birds are Cryptosporidium meleagridis (C. meleagridis), C. baileyi, C. galli, and C. avium (11). In chickens and/or turkeys, infection is usually caused by C. baileyi and C. meleagridis (12), and rarely C. parvum (13) and C. galli (14). There are great differences in the prevalence and distribution rates of Cryptosporidium species among various avian species. The clinical pictures of Cryptosporidium infections vary from intestinal to respiratory diseases according to the oocysts of each species, susceptibility and age of the birds, and presence of concurrent infections (15). Conventional microscopical staining techniques (16), serological methods, and recent molecular techniques are used for the diagnosis of cryptosporidiosis (17, 18). Several studies around the world demonstrated the zoonotic potential of Cryptosporidium species like C. parvum, C. hominis, and C. meleagridis in different hosts like dogs, cattle, mice, and cats. Humans, especially children and patients with immunodeficient diseases, could be infected through direct contact with infected animals (19) or birds (20). Application of hygienic measures, prevention of direct contact with infected animals or birds, and treatment of infected...
animals, especially diarrheic animals or humans, are the most important strategies for the prevention and control of cryptosporidiosis.

Accordingly, this review article was designed to shed light on avian cryptosporidiosis distribution, susceptibility and infection, clinical pictures, laboratory diagnosis, zoonotic importance in humans, and prevention and control strategies.

History and distribution

Table 1 show the incidence and distribution of Cryptosporidium species in different avian hosts all over the world. The first detection of avian Cryptosporidium oocysts was in the cecum of apparently healthy chickens (21) as these oocysts were similar to C. parvum and C. hominis in humans. Later, for the first time, a unique Cryptosporidium species was described as C. meleagridis by Slavin (22), and this species was molecularly differentiated from C. parvum as a different avian species with zoonotic nature (23). In an Algerian study by Baroudi et al. (24), the prevalence rates of C. meleagridis were 57.9%, 43.9%, and 5.5% in turkey poults, adult turkeys, and broiler chickens, respectively. However, the infection rate of C. meleagridis in chickens of the previous study was 28.9%.

Furthermore, C. meleagridis was previously found in 5% (25), 3% (26), and 3.2% (27) of broiler chickens and 10% of layer chickens in China (28) and in 9% of broilers in Algeria (29).

Another species of Cryptosporidium called C. baileyi was detected in the intestinal tracts, bursae of Fabricius, and cloacae of birds (30), in the upper and lower respiratory tracts of broilers (31, 32, 26) and geese (33), and in the urinary tract as kidneys (34, 35). Recently, C. baileyi was molecularly detected in broiler and layer chickens, ducks, and pigeons as a zoonotic species (36, 27, 37). It has been documented that C. baileyi is the most prevalent avian Cryptosporidium species worldwide and has a wide host range (38-41, 28, 11, 42).

Although C. parvum has zoonotic importance in humans, it is sporadically found in poultry species (11). In 2017, in Germany, the prevalence rates of Cryptosporidium species were 5.7% in broilers and 8.3% in layers, and C. parvum was the most predominant isolate of chickens and turkeys (20, 40). In Brazil, C. parvum was detected in chicks (15), while in the Units States it was detected in turkeys (43).

Other species of Cryptosporidium have also been identified earlier. Levine (44) found C. tyzzeri in chickens, while Proctor and Kemp (45) found C. anserinum in geese. In 1990, C. blagburni was identified in finches (46, 39), but this species was further described as C. galli with a zoonotic nature. The latter was previously identified in chickens’ proventriculi (47, 48, 14).

Different avian species of Cryptosporidium have been reported among Egyptian poultry flocks. The first morphological detection of C. meleagridis, C. baileyi, and C. galli was in quails in 2011. The study showed that the prevalence rates of Cryptosporidium oocysts were 30.8% in bobwhite quails and 33.3% in brown quails with a total percentage of 31.9% (49). From a wide range of avian species in different seasons, Cryptosporidium in all the examined bird species was prevalent in winter (15.4% for fowl, 3.6% for pigeons, 44.2% for ducks, 15.7% for turkeys, and 30% for geese), while the lowest prevalence rate of Cryptosporidium was in spring (8.3% for fowl and 2.6% for pigeons). Cryptosporidium showed less incidence in ducks in autumn (2.4%) and summer (3.2%) and in turkeys (4.4%) (50). In the study of Kalifa et al. (51), the incidence of Cryptosporidium species in ducks was 39.9 %, with the highest rate in winter (74.6%) and the lowest rate in autumn (7.1%). Approximately 55% of 100 ducks had antibodies against cryptosporidiosis. The molecular analysis revealed positive amplification at 435 bp, and sequencing confirmed the presence of C. meleagridis.

Genetically, five genotypes of Cryptosporidium (I, II, III, IV, and V) were identified in birds (9, 52). In Canada, genotypes I to V were identified in wild geese and the black duck (53, 54). Genotype I was detected in canaries and Indian peafowl (41, 55). Genotype II was found in ostriches (56, 57) and other several species of Psittaciformes and Passeriformes (41, 58-62). Genotype IV was identified in Japanese white-eye woodcocks, in addition to the Eurasian woodcock genotype that was detected in Eurasian woodcocks (41). Genotype V was found in cockatiels (52), Psittaciformes (59, 62), and reptiles (63). Phylogenetically, C. meleagridis, C. baileyi, goose genotypes I and II, and the duck genotype belong to the Cryptosporidium intestinal clade, while C. galli, C. andersoni, C. muris, C. serpentis, and genotypes III and IV belong to the gastric clade (64, 41).
Table 1: Incidence and distribution of Cryptosporidium species in different avian hosts all over the world

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Incidence and distribution</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td><em>C. baileyi</em>, <em>C. galli</em>, and <em>C. meleagridis</em> have been characterized in ostriches using morphological, biological, and molecular techniques. <em>C. baileyi</em> was found in ducks and quail, while <em>C. meleagridis</em> was detected in chicken. Positive amplification for <em>Cryptosporidium</em> species was observed in 12.6% (24/190) of the samples, including <em>C. baileyi</em> (9.8%; 18/190), <em>C. meleagridis</em> (0.5%; 1/190), <em>C. parvum</em> (2.1%; 4/190), and <em>Cryptosporidium</em> species (0.5%; 1/190). Sub-genotyping of <em>C. meleagridis</em> revealed the presence of the subtype IIIgA23G3R1. Sequencing of <em>Cryptosporidium</em> species revealed presence of <em>C. baileyi</em> in a black vulture, a domestic chicken, and a saffron finch; <em>C. galli</em> in canaries, a cockatiel, and lesser seed-finches; <em>C. meleagridis</em> in a domestic chicken; <em>C. parvum</em> in a cockatiel; <em>Cryptosporidium</em> avian genotype I in a canary and an Indian peafowl; <em>Cryptosporidium</em> avian genotype II in ostriches, and <em>Cryptosporidium</em> avian genotype III in a cockatiel and a peach-faced lovebird. Molecular analysis of nucleotide sequences grouped the ostrich isolate of <em>Cryptosporidium</em> species as <em>C. baileyi</em> which was genetically distinct from all other species. Among the 242 fecal samples from wild birds, 16 (6.6%) were positive for the presence of <em>Cryptosporidium</em>. Molecular characterization of 16 samples showed <em>C. meleagridis</em>. <em>C. galli</em> was identified in rufous-bellied thrush, green-winged saltators, slate-coloured seedeater, goldfinch, and saffron finches. Isolates in Goldfinch isolate, buffy-fronted seedeater, red-cowled cardinal, and saffron finch isolates were identified as <em>C. baileyi</em>. Avian genotype II was found in an isolate from a white-eyed parakeet. Out of 103 fecal samples of exotic birds, 7 (6.8%) were positive for <em>Cryptosporidium</em>. Sequencing analyses showed <em>C. parvum</em> in Bengalese finch and avian genotype III in Java sparrow and cockatiel. The sequences of the <em>Cryptosporidium</em> species isolated from canaries presented a higher genetic similarity with <em>C. parvum</em>. A total of 1027 fecal samples were collected from Psittaciformes and Passeriformes. Molecular analysis showed positive results in 580 (56.47%) and 21 (2.04%) samples, respectively, for <em>C. galli</em> and <em>Cryptosporidium</em> avian genotype II, and in 28 (2.73%) and 3 (0.29%) samples, respectively, for <em>C. galli</em> and <em>Cryptosporidium</em> avian genotype III. <em>C. baileyi</em> and <em>Cryptosporidium</em> avian genotype V were also identified. Microscopic examination of fecal smears of carrier pigeons revealed presence of 4% (4/100) positive <em>Cryptosporidium</em>. While, 7% (7/100) were molecularly positive. <em>C. parvum</em> was genetically identified. C. <em>baileyi</em> was identified in broiler chickens. This species was able to infect Japanese quails. <em>Cryptosporidium</em> was observed in 44.4% of the examined 77 ostriches. However, 100% of the ostriches shed oocysts in their feces. <em>C. galli</em> infection was microscopically, histologically, and molecularly characterized in canaries, a cockatiel, and in lesser seed-finches with clinical complaints of apathy and sporadic mortality. <em>Cryptosporidium</em> species were detected in 24.5% samples of adult and 13% of young species of birds including great-billed seed-finch, lesser seed-finch, ultramarine grosbeak, and rusty-collared seedeater. The sequencing analyses showed identification of <em>C. galli</em>. The protozoon infection was associated with concomitant infection with <em>Escherichia coli</em> and <em>Isospora</em> species.</td>
<td>[9] [36] [42] [55] [56] [60] [61] [62] [75] [79] [86] [134] [135]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: continuation

*Cryptosporidium* species were microscopically demonstrated in young quails experienced high mortality, diarrhea, and clear fluid content in the intestine. [6]

*Cryptosporidium* species and reovirus were identified in bobwhite quails with white-watery diarrhea, dehydration, and death, 30%-45% mortality rate, and mucoid enteritis. [7]

The overall 23 of 56 (41%) broiler chickens had *C. baileyi* tracheitis. The infection rates among *C. baileyi*-infected flocks ranged from 10%-60%. [32]

*Cryptosporidium* species were microscopically detected in the urinary tract of adult laying hens. [35]

*C. parvum* was found in turkeys, especially in 4-9-week-old birds. [43]

Genotypes I to V of *Cryptosporidium* were identified in wild geese. [53]

*Cryptosporidium* goose genotype I, *Cryptosporidium* goose genotype II, *Cryptosporidium* duck genotype, *C. parvum*, and *C. hominis* were identified. [54]

Oocysts of *Cryptosporidium* species were observed in the feces, and the developmental stages of the parasite were observed in tissue sections of turkeys and Muscovy ducks but not bobwhite quail. [66]

Oral inoculation of ducks with *C. baileyi* induced no clinical signs, while intratracheal inoculation produced mild respiratory disease, no deaths, and airsacculitis. [69]

Proventriculus and intestinal samples from 70 North American red-winged blackbirds were examined. Twelve birds (17.1%) were genetically positive for the *Cryptosporidium*. Sequence analysis of the gastric species revealed presence of *C. galli* and *Cryptosporidium* avian genotype VI. [90]

Microscopic examination of the intestinal contents of turkey poults suffering from diarrhea and mucoid enteritis and typhlitis showed presence of *Cryptosporidium* species in the enterocytes, villi atrophy, and infiltration with inflammatory cells. [95]

*Cryptosporidium* oocysts were detected in the droppings of 16/20 (80%) 17-day-old and of 38/100 (38%) 24-day-old turkeys without signs. The protozoon was frequently found in the ceca, colon, and cloaca of inoculated turkeys and chickens. [98]

Outbreaks of sinusitis due to *Cryptosporidium* were documented in 7-and 3-week-old turkeys. [100]

Concurrent infection with *Mycoplasma sturni* and *Cryptosporidium* species was detected in cliff swallows manifested clinical, gross, and microscopic lesions. [106]

Mixed infection with *C. baileyi* and infectious bursal disease virus resulted in more severe bursal lesions, more infected birds, and greater numbers of the parasite in infected tissues. [114]

Double infection with *C. baileyi* and either reovirus promoted shedding of both. Reovirus infection did not modify lesions caused by *C. baileyi* infection. [115]

*Cryptosporidium* infection promoted systemic spread of reovirus, and reovirus intensified *Cryptosporidium* infection, but no significant synergistic effect on mortality or weight gain was observed. [126]

*Cryptosporidium* species were detected during histologic examination of small intestine from a budgerigar with chronic weight loss and from a cockatiel that died acutely. [128]

*C. baileyi* was microscopically detected in the small intestine of cockatiels. [129]

*Cryptosporidium* avian genotype III was demonstrated in lovebird (*Agapornis* species) manifested gastrointestinal signs ad lesions. [136]

Mixed infection with adenovirus and *Cryptosporidium* species was demonstrated during examination of tracheal mucosa of 7-week-old broiler chickens that had excessive exudate in the tracheas and congestion of the nasal turbinates. [139]
### Table 1: Avian cryptosporidiosis: a significant parasitic disease of public health hazard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>The phylogenetic analysis supported the existence of <em>C. baileyi</em> and <em>C. meleagris</em> in finches, a black duck, and brown quail. Of 430 avian-derived fecal specimens, 27 <em>Cryptosporidium</em>-positive isolates were detected and characterized. Genotypes I to IV were molecularly identified. <em>C. galli</em>, <em>C muris</em>, and <em>C. andersoni</em> were also identified in a tawny frogmouth and a quail-crested wood partridge. In 73 of 128 ducklings, and in 44 of 74 goslings, <em>Cryptosporidium</em> species were detected. Tissues from the bursa of Fabricius were positive in both species of birds. The presence or absence of the parasite could not be correlated with clinical signs or lesions and/or poor performance of the birds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Intestinal cryptosporidiosis was identified in young pigeons manifested diarrhea and body weight loss. Histological examination and antigenic diagnosis by enzyme-linked immunosorbent analysis revealed the presence of <em>Cryptosporidium</em> species in respiratory and intestinal tracts of red-legged partridges. Morbidity (diarrhoea and cough) was 60%-70% and mortality was 50%. <em>C. meleagris</em> was molecularly identified in faecal samples. Respiratory cryptosporidiosis was diagnosed in a 2-week-old peacock chicks. Microscopic examination of conjunctiva, nasal-sinus, and trachea showed the different developmental stages of <em>Cryptosporidium</em>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Developmental stages of <em>Cryptosporidium</em> were observed in tracheal epithelium of turkey poult. Lesions associated with the parasite included excess mucus, epithelial hyperplasia, metaplasia, and necrosis, and infiltration with macrophage and heterophil in thickened lamina propria. <em>Cryptosporidium</em> species were found in the feces of 14 out of 165 (8.5%) ostriches. The oocysts failed to infect chickens, turkeys, or quail. <em>Cryptosporidium</em> species from ostriches was different from <em>C. meleagris</em>, <em>C. baileyi</em>, and <em>Cryptosporidium</em> species of bobwhite quail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>Histological examination and antigenic diagnosis by enzyme-linked immunosorbent analysis revealed the presence of <em>Cryptosporidium</em> species in respiratory and intestinal tracts of red-legged partridges. Morbidity (diarrhoea and cough) was 60%-70% and mortality was 50%. <em>C. meleagris</em> was molecularly identified in faecal samples. <em>C. meleagris</em> was molecularly identified in wild red grouse with sinusitis, conjunctivitis, and swollen head.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Czech Republic</td>
<td><em>C. galli</em> was isolated from the stomach of hens and it was transmitted from hens to chickens. <em>C. baileyi</em> was identified in 15 out of the 22 avian-derived isolates, while <em>C. meleagris</em> was isolated in 5 avian-derived isolates. One isolate (B1-30), from a rose-ringed parakeet, exhibited a mixed infection of both <em>C. meleagris</em> and <em>C. baileyi</em>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td><em>C. baileyi</em> was microscopically and molecularly identified from raptors and from a German falcon breeder with a history of respiratory distress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td><em>C. baileyi</em> was detected in red grouse moors with bulgy eye signs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td><em>C. baileyi</em> infection didn’t prevent the induction of immunity against Marek’s disease virus serotype 1 vaccine (CVI988/Rispens) in chickens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>Chicken anemia virus infection may increase the reproductive potential of <em>C. baileyi</em> in chickens and both pathogens have synergistic effect on each other.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td><em>Cryptosporidium</em> infection was associated with colitis and cystitis in green iguanas. The disease was characterized by cloacal prolapses and cystitis. Based on molecular gene identification, <em>Cryptosporidium</em> species were c belonging to the intestinal <em>Cryptosporidium</em> lineage, but not to <em>C. saurophilum</em> or <em>C. serpentis</em>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>In 36 of 128 ducklings, and in 44 of 74 goslings, <em>Cryptosporidium</em> species were detected. Tissues from the bursa of Fabricius were positive in both species of birds. The presence or absence of the parasite could not be correlated with clinical signs or lesions and/or poor performance of the birds.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: continuation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>A total of 499 fecal dropping from 308 free-ranging, 90 captive, and 101 domestic birds were tested by conventional, immunological, and molecular techniques for Cryptosporidium. C. parvum was found in 19 (3.8%).</td>
<td>[93]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>C. baileyi was molecularly identified in 7-week-old Saker falcon died with a history of severe respiratory signs and lesions and otitis media. Ziehl-Neelsen staining of the fecal smears, bursae of Fabricius, or respiratory organs of broilers showed infection rates (24.3%) of Cryptosporidium species.</td>
<td>[107]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Heavy infection of the cloacal and bursal tissues with Cryptosporidium species was observed in ostriches showed phallus prolapse as well as in normal birds. Loss of the microvilli borders, epithelial hyperplasia, swelling of organelles, and nuclear changes were detected microscopically. The histological findings in emaciated 4-month-old ostriches revealed presence of Cryptosporidium species in the necrotic and inflamed pancreatic epithelium.</td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>The total prevalence of Cryptosporidium oocysts was 7.4% in different avian species. Local birds had the highest prevalence rate (9.5%), followed by exotic birds (6.6%) and the wild ones (5.3%).</td>
<td>[4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>The infection rate of Cryptosporidium among broiler chicken was 10% (38/385). C. baileyi, C. meleagrisid, and Cryptosporidium avian genotype II were molecularly identified. The overall infection rate of Cryptosporidium was 10.6% (163/1542) in layer chickens, 3.4% (16/473) in broilers, and 16.3% (92/564) in Pekin ducks. C. baileyi (184/187) on chicken and duck farms, and C. meleagrisid (3/187) on layer chicken farms were detected. Two subtypes of C. meleagrisid including IlbA26G1R1b and IlbA22G1R1c were characterized. The overall prevalence of Cryptosporidium in psittacine birds was 8.1% (35/434). Three Cryptosporidium species and two genotypes were identified, including C. baileyi (18/35 or 51.4%) in red-billed leiothrixes, white Java sparrows, common mynas, zebra finches, a crested Lark, a Gouldian finch, and a black-billed magpie; C. meleagrisid (3/35 or 8.6%) in a Bohemian waxwing, a Rufous turtle dove, and a fan-tailed pigeon; C. galli (5/35 or 14.3%) in Bohemian waxwings and a silver-eared Mesia; Cryptosporidium avian genotype III (3/35 or 8.6%) in cockatiels and a red-billed blue magpie; and Cryptosporidium avian genotype V (6/35 or 17.1%) in cockatiels. The overall prevalence of Cryptosporidium infection in pigeons was 0.82% (2/244). C. baileyi and C. meleagrisid were identified. The overall prevalence of Cryptosporidium in quail was 13.1% (29 of 47 farms). The highest prevalence was observed in autumn and the lowest in winter. C. baileyi and C. meleagrisid were molecularly detected. C. baileyi infection in baby chicks may induce bursal atrophy, immunosuppressive effects against avian influenza (H5N1), and increase the susceptibility to the virus. A total of 303 fecal samples were collected from ostriches and 31 samples (10.2%) were Cryptosporidium-positive upon microscopic analysis. The infection rate was 27.6% in ostriches aged 16-60 days, 1.2% in those aged 61-180 days, and 20.4% in those aged &gt;10 years. Genetic analysis of the isolated parasite revealed presence of C. muris and C. baileyi.</td>
<td>[25] [28] [27] [59] [74] [78] [121] [137]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Eleven Cryptosporidium isolates were identified molecularly in cockatiels. Three new genotypes in C. meleagrisid, avian genotype III, and a new avian genotype V were characterized. Cryptosporidium avian genotype III was molecularly identified in peach-faced lovebirds. Mixed infection with Mycoplasma gallisepticum and Cryptosporidium species or other bacteria was detected in Japanese quail. Birds showed swelling of the head, nasal discharge, increased lacrimation, decreased egg production, mortality rate of 5.7% per day, caseous exudate in the sinuses, egg peritonitis, and airsacculitis. Microscopically, non-purulent or purulent inflammation accompanied by lymphoid hyperplastic tissue with germinal centers were observed in the oculofacial respiratory mucosa.</td>
<td>[52] [58] [77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Infection with C. baileyi induced an immunosuppressive effect on Newcastle disease virus vaccine in 2-day-old chicks and the infection with the parasite may increase the susceptibility to this virus infection. C. baileyi infection could suppress the immune response against infectious bronchitis virus vaccine and perhaps increase the susceptibility to this virus infection in chickens.</td>
<td>[118] [120]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Avian cryptosporidiosis: a significant parasitic disease of public health hazard

Malaysia
A total of 90 samples were screened for Cryptosporidium from different avian species. Molecular characterization showed that 15.7% of the samples were Cryptosporidium positive. Of these 31 samples, 17 were C. baileyi (8.7%), 12 were C. meleagridis (6.0%), and 2 were C. parvum (1.0%). C. meleagridis had two subtypes (IIIbA21G1R1 and IIIbA23G1R1), which were found in broiler, native and sonali chickens, and a pigeon. Two novel subtypes (IIIbA21G2R1 and IIIbA20G2R1) were identified in sonali chickens, a broiler chicken, and a layer chicken.

Bangladesh
Microscopic examination revealed presence of Cryptosporidium species in 19.8% (39/197) of avian specimens. Molecular characterization showed that 15.7% (31/197) of the samples were Cryptosporidium positive. Of these 31 samples, 17 were C. baileyi (8.7%), 12 were C. meleagridis (6.0%), and 2 were C. parvum (1.0%). C. meleagridis had two subtypes (IIIbA21G1R1 and IIIbA23G1R1), which were found in broiler, native and sonali chickens, and a pigeon. Two novel subtypes (IIIbA21G2R1 and IIIbA20G2R1) were identified in sonali chickens, a broiler chicken, and a layer chicken.

Vietnam
C. baileyi genotype II was detected in 2-3-month-old ostriches with a prevalence rate of 23.7% (110 out of 464 samples).

Thailand
C. meleagridis was identified in pigeons, however, Cryptosporidium avian genotype III was detected in seagulls.

Egypt
The prevalence rates of C. meleagridis, C. baileyi, and C. galli were 30.8% in bobwhite quails and 33.3% in brown quails with a total percentage of 31.9%. Cryptosporidium showed less incidence in ducks in autumn (2.4%) and summer (3.2%) and in turkeys (4.4%).

The incidence of Cryptosporidium species in ducks was 39.9%, with the highest rate in winter (74.6%) and the lowest rate in autumn (7.1%). Approximately 55% of 100 ducks had antibodies against the parasite.

C. baileyi could be one cause of vaccination failure against Newcastle disease and/or avian influenza viruses in chicken’s farms.

Iran
Cryptosporidium species were identified in pigeons with overall prevalence rate of 2.94%.

C. meleagridis was histologically detected in the intestinal tract of turkey poults suffering from diarrhea and unthriftness. The oocyst shedding was detected only in 29% of positive birds.

Microscopic examination of the intestine and trachea demonstrated that 23.75% of 240 broiler samples were infected.

Algeria
A total of 345 faecal samples were collected from domestic, captive, and wild birds. Cryptosporidium species were detected in 31 samples. Sequence analysis revealed the presence of C. baileyi in domestic chicken broilers, captive ostriches, and a wild mallard, and C. meleagridis in a graylag goose, chickens, and turkeys. The overall prevalence of Cryptosporidium in chickens and turkeys was 2% and 6%, respectively. Both C. meleagridis and C. baileyi were detected in chicken broilers, with a prevalence ranging from 9% to 69%. Turkeys were positive only for C. meleagridis, with a 13% prevalence at the animal level. Subtyping of C. meleagridis isolates showed subtype IIIgA22G3R1 in graylag goose and chicken broilers and IIIgA23G2R1 in chicken and turkey broilers.

Morocco
Examination of intestine, bursa of Fabricius, and trachea revealed existence of Cryptosporidium species in 37% of 225 flocks. The prevalence of infection within flocks varied from 14%-100%. High incidence of Cryptosporidium infection occurred in 36-45-day-old broilers (52%). Cryptosporidium species were detected in bursa (24%), intestine (15%), and trachea (2%). In the bursa of Fabricius, Cryptosporidium-induced epithelial lesions, lymphoid atrophy, and depletion.

Tunisia
Cryptosporidium was detected in 9 out of 200 broiler chicken (4.5%). Molecular characterization showed presence of C. meleagridis in one broiler chicken.

Turkey
Clinical signs of cryptosporidiosis in 10-day-old pigeon were depression, ruffled feathers, and diarrhea. Gross lesions were mild hyperaemic segments of small intestine distended with typical green watery ingesta. Cryptosporidium species were found in the villi of lower portions of atrophic and misshapen small intestine.

Dubai
C. parvum was molecularly detected from an outbreak of catarrhal enteritis and mortality among stone curlews.
Susceptibility and infection

Cryptosporidiosis has been recorded worldwide in more than 30 species of domestic, wild, and captive birds (23). The disease was demonstrated in chickens, turkeys, ducks, geese, quails, pheasants, ostriches, partridges, and peacocks (65-67, 1).

In water fowl (ducks and geese), Richter et al. (68) detected the presence of Cryptosporidium species in the intestinal and respiratory tracts of ducklings and goslings at incidence rates of 57% and 59%, respectively, using indirect immunofluorescence assay. Experimentally, C. baileyi was identified in ducks in Brazil (36). However, C. baileyi was detected using the in situ hybridization technique in the conjunctival and bursal tissues of geese experimentally infected with Usutu virus (33).

Moreover, C. baileyi and C. meleagridis could infect the intestine, bursa, and cloaca of ducks after the incubation period up to 9-10 days (69).

In pigeons, cryptosporidiosis has been identified by some researchers (70, 71). Qi et al. (59) identified C. meleagridis in one pigeon using molecular techniques, while Radfar et al. (72) found that the prevalence rate of Cryptosporidium species was 3.4% in adult pigeons and 2.3% in squabs. Moreover, cryptosporidiosis infection has been microscopically and molecularly identified in different countries like Thailand (73), China (74), and Brazil (75).

In Australia, quails gain natural infection with Cryptosporidium species like C. baileyi and C. meleagridis (76, 39). The natural infection of quails with C. baileyi has been recorded in Japan using molecular techniques (77). Both C. baileyi and C. meleagridis were molecularly characterized in China (78). The experimental infection of quails with C. baileyi isolates of chicken was first unsuccessful (6, 7), while Cardozo et al. (79) succeeded in the induction of infection in quails using isolates of broiler chickens.

Infection with C. meleagridis has also been reported in red-legged partridge chicks (10).

Ratite bird species also showed susceptibility to cryptosporidiosis. The first detection of Cryptosporidium infections in ostriches was in the 1990s (80, 8, 81-84). Gajadhar (81) identified Cryptosporidium in the feces of 14/165 (8.5%) African ostriches. However, in 1994, Gajadhar (82) investigated these oocysts and the host specificity. The identified oocysts were identical to C. meleagridis, but they were non-infectious to chickens, turkeys, quails, and mice (82). Later on, Ponce Gordo et al. (85) identified Cryptosporidium oocysts in 2-month-old to 5-year-old ostriches, but with a low prevalence rate (2/336, 0.6 %). Microscopically, the prevalence rate of C. baileyi in Europe was 60% in adult ostriches and rheas (85), and that of the Brazilian isolate was 44% in adult ostriches (86). Cryptosporidium avian genotype II species was molecularly identified in ostriches (56, 41), which was similar to the Brazilian isolate of C. baileyi (9). In Vietnam, Nguyen et al. (57) identified Cryptosporidium avian genotype II oocysts of C. baileyi in 2-3-month-old ostriches with a prevalence rate of 23.7% (110 out of 464 samples).

Pet birds could be infected with C. galli, C. meleagridis, and C. baileyi. These species have been described as important zoonotic parasites to humans, especially kids (87). In addition, C. baileyi has been isolated from the upper respiratory tracts of three mixed-bred falcons (88). In owls, Molina-Lopez et al. (89) reported an infection with C. baileyi associated with an outbreak of ocular and respiratory diseases. C. galli and novel Cryptosporidium avian genotype VI have been found in North American red-winged blackbirds (90).

The age group susceptibility to cryptosporidiosis is variable according to the Cryptosporidium species. It has been proposed that young birds are the most important risk group since their immune system is not yet fully developed (43). The infection rate of Cryptosporidium was 4.9% in 1-20-day-old broiler chickens, 24.6% in 31-60-day-old layer chickens, and 40.3% in 11-30-day-old Peking ducks (28). Moreover, it has been shown that chickens of all ages are susceptible to C. baileyi, while 31-120-day-old layer chickens are more susceptible to C. meleagridis infection (28). In addition, C. baileyi and C. meleagridis were detected in chicken aged above four months (27). In the United States, the infection is predominant in 4-9-week-old turkeys (43). In the study of Helmy et al. (20), infections with Cryptosporidium were noted in 13.8% of 13-20-week-old turkeys, 5.7% of 1-6-week-old broilers, and 8.3% of layer chickens above 20 weeks of age.

Perhaps broiler chickens act as a source of cryptosporidiosis disease infection and transmission of oocysts (91). Birds are infected with Cryptosporidium by ingestion or inhalation of sporulated oocysts in contaminated litter, feces,
water, and dust. The main route of Cryptosporidium transmission is the fecal-oral route as oocysts are shed in the droppings, contaminate the soil and water, and thus provide many paths into the food chain (92). Mechanical transmission through migratory birds has also been reported. Although these birds have a low level of Cryptosporidium infections, they shed oocysts and contaminate the environment (93). Moreover, asymptomatic birds act as a mechanical source of transmission of infective oocysts to other birds, animals, and humans (94). Poor hygienic measures are associated with increased incidence of the infection in poultry flocks (15).

Clinical picture

The severity of Cryptosporidium clinical disease in poultry depends on birds’ hygienic conditions, stocking density, crowding, and mixing of different ages and species of birds (15). Intestinal Cryptosporidium infection is characterized by diarrhea, lowered weight gain, and distention of the intestinal lumen with mucus and gases, in addition to the presence of different stages of Cryptosporidium in different parts of the small intestine (95, 96).

Infection of turkeys with C. meleagridis presents either subclinically (97, 98) or clinically in the form of enteritis (22, 95, 99). C. meleagridis may infect chickens (69) with intestinal involvement.

It has been shown that C. baileyi mainly affects the respiratory and intestinal tracts of poultry (38, 40). Reports of C. baileyi respiratory tract infections have been detected in chickens (31), turkeys (100), ducks (101, 102), geese (33), and pheasants (103). In addition, some wild birds, such as falcons, owls, swallows, and the red grouse, showed infection of the upper respiratory system, middle ear, and eyes with C. baileyi (104, 88, 89, 105-108). Co-infection of C. baileyi with Escherichia coli and infectious bronchitis (IB) virus was reported, and the affected birds showed mortality associated with lower respiratory tract infections, such as bronchitis, pneumonia, and air sacculitis (109-111). Moreover, C. baileyi is associated with other causative agents with high mortality and lowered body weight gain. Among these infectious agents are the virus vaccine of Marek’s disease (MD) (Rispens) (112), chicken infectious anemia virus (113), infectious bursal disease (IBD) virus (114), and reoviruses (115).

In addition, it has been reported that C. baileyi induces humoral immuno-suppression effect through the infection of the bursa of Fabricius (116). This effect is controversial and shows different results. For example, the infection of chickens with C. baileyi suppresses the immune response to the virus vaccines of MD (117, 112), IBD (114), reoviruses (115), Newcastle disease (118, 119), IB disease (120), and avian influenza disease (121, 119). Although the experimental challenges of chickens with C. baileyi induced purulent bursitis and hyperplasia as well as slight lymphoid atrophy (122, 123), no effect on the humoral immune response has been detected (110, 123).

Severe sinusitis with a morbidity rate of 5%-10% was observed in young turkey poults infected with Mycoplasma species (100). Respiratory signs were observed in thirteen turkeys that were histologically positive for Cryptosporidium species (124). However, a history of self-limiting diarrhea was identified in a flock of turkey poults suffering from invasive Cryptosporidium (95). In Iran, C. meleagridis oocysts were detected in diarrheic unthrifty turkey poults (96). Sneezing, frothy eyes, swollen sinuses, coughing, and rattling were reported in a case of C. baileyi and C. meleagridis infections in turkeys (125).

Cryptosporidium species were detected in five-day-old quails with high mortality (6). Ritter et al. (7) reported a mortality rate up to 45% that was associated with acute fatal diarrhea in 1-17-day-old quails as the infection was associated with Cryptosporidium and reovirus. A similar study was carried out by Guy et al. (126) who observed severe diarrhea and mortality as well as high oocyst shedding after the experimental coinfection of quails with Cryptosporidium and reovirus. Respiratory signs and lesions, drop in egg production with peritonitis, and a daily mortality rate of 5.7% were reported in Japanese quails due to mixed infection with Mycoplasma gallisepticum and Cryptosporidium species (77). However, in a study of Wang et al. (78) in China, no signs were detected in a Cryptosporidium-positive quail flock.

In pigeons, invasive stages of Cryptosporidium were identified in the intestine of a pigeon with depression, and diarrhea soiled the feather around the vent (70). Moreover, yellow watery diarrhea, weight loss, and dehydration were associated with 40% morbidity and 5% mortality rates (71).

Diarrhea, cough, morbidity rates of 60-70%, and a mortality rate more than 50% were reported
in red-legged partridge chicks having mixed infection with *C. meleagris* and *C. baileyi* (10).

In ostriches, prolapsed cloaca, recta, and bursae were observed in dead 4-week-old chicks heavily infected with *Cryptosporidium* (8, 83, 84). Moreover, it has been proposed that *Cryptosporidium* infection is associated with pancreatic necrosis (80, 127). Infected 7-30-day-old ostrich chicks with *Cryptosporidium* genotype II showed sudden death with cloacal prolapse, and the oocysts were identified in the rectum, coprodeum, urodeum, and bursa (9).

Pet birds like budgerigars (128), cockatiels (128, 129), parrots (130), and lovebirds (131) exhibited intestinal cryptosporidiosis with high mortality.

When *C. parvum* or *C. galli* infects chickens or turkeys, no clinical signs could be detected (14, 43). However, in Dubai, an outbreak of catarrhal enteritis and mortality were reported among stone curlews (132). Birds infected with gastric *C. galli* showed diarrhea, weight loss, and sometimes mortality (46, 133, 39). Chronic proventriculitis is associated with *C. galli* with secondary infections (134, 135). In psittacine birds, strains of *C. galli* genotype III induced chronic vomiting, weight loss, and proventriculitis (58, 136).

Other species, *C. muris* and *C. andersoni*, were found in birds’ droppings perhaps due to mechanical transmission from mammals (41). In China, adult ostriches showed infection with *C. muris* (137). In the Czech Republic, a novel genotype of *Cryptosporidium* (Eurasian woodcock) was molecularly identified, and it caused proventriculus and death of Eurasian woodcock species of birds (138).

The life cycle of *Cryptosporidium*

The shed oocysts are usually present in high numbers, resist the environmental conditions, and do not require special conditions for maturation. Infection with infective oocysts through ingestion is followed by excitation in the small intestine with the release of sporozoites. Released sporozoites proliferate in the intestinal epithelial cells where the asexual multiplication phase begins. As a result of asexual multiplication, invasion of merozoites to the neighboring cells is followed by the sexual multiplication phase. After this stage, production of macrogamonts and microgamonts occurs, followed by fertilization of macrogamonts and production of oocysts that sporulate in the host before shedding. The sporulated oocysts containing four sporozoites are shed in feces and respiratory secretions (especially in birds and children) in case of respiratory cryptosporidiosis (139, 140).

Laboratory diagnosis

Various methods including microscopic, immunological, and molecular techniques are used for the detection of *Cryptosporidium* infection (Figure 1). Microscopic examination includes concentration flotation and sedimentation techniques. Fecal samples that were concentrated using Sheather’s sugar flotation technique followed by bright-field microscopy showed overall infections rates of *Cryptosporidium* of 3.4% in broilers and 10.6% in layer chickens in China (28). Iodine-saline wet mount method is another method for microscopic examination. However, routine fecal examination methods have some disadvantages like difficulties in distinguishing *Cryptosporidium* oocysts from other small debris particles, molds, algae, or yeasts (141).

Ziehl-Neelsen staining of the fecal smears, bursae of Fabricius, or respiratory organs of broilers revealed infection rates of *Cryptosporidium* of 18.7% in Scotland (16), 24.3% in Greece (142), 37% in Morocco (143), and 4.5% in Tunisia (144). In acid-fast stained smears, *Cryptosporidium* oocysts appear as pink to red and spherical to ovoid bodies with a blue or purple background. This technique is useful in smears with a high number of oocysts or even a low number until one oocyst and can be stored permanently for a long time (145). For the detection of oocysts in smears, the sensitivity was 67.5% for modified acid-fast staining and 53.75% for Giemsa staining (146). In Japan, the histological examination of tissues detected 36.8% of *Cryptosporidium* in broilers and 33.3% in layers (147). However, in Iran, this rate was 23.8% in broilers (148).

Flow cytometry is also used for the demonstration of *Cryptosporidium* after staining with fluorescent markers (149).

*Cryptosporidium* antigens can be detected through many immuno-chromatographic dipstick tests, enzyme immunoassays, reverse passive hemagglutination tests, and immunofluorescence
techniques (150-152). Some Egyptian studies used enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for the detection of antibodies against *Cryptosporidium* species in humans. For example, Gabr et al. (146) noted that the sensitivity of ELISA was 90% for the detection of cryptosporidiosis. In addition, Hassanein et al. (153) used this assay for the demonstration of *C. parvum* immunoglobulin G in the serum of Egyptian children with persistent diarrhea and acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Nanogold-beads-based ELISA was used for the detection of *Cryptosporidium* in the stool samples of diarrheic patients in Benha Province, Egypt. However, in an animal study, Ferieg et al. (154) reported marked sero-prevalence of *C. parvum* in cattle in South Egypt using ELISA. A similar study was recently conducted in Japan, where the indirect ELISA showed total sero-positivity of 96.3% for *C. parvum* in cattle (155).

Unfortunately, the most common diagnostic traditional tools such as microscopy and immunology cannot discriminate *Cryptosporidium* species or subtypes to understand the transmission pathways and dynamics in humans (156). In addition, these tools have a much lower sensitivity than polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (17, 18). Accordingly, genotyping and subtyping of *Cryptosporidium* were done using restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis of the 18S rRNA gene (157, 158) and *Cryptosporidium* oocyst wall protein (COWP) gene (159) and sequence analysis of the 60-kDa glycoprotein gene (160). There are differences in the prevalence and infection rates according to the method used to detect *Cryptosporidium* (e.g., microscopic examination vs. PCR) (161, 156). The first molecular report regarding the genotyping and subtyping of *Cryptosporidium* in calves in Kafr El Sheikh Province, Egypt, was made by Amer et al. (162). They sequenced the SSU rDNA gene and COWP gene of *C. parvum* as well as the high polymorphic 60-kDa glycoprotein gene. In Beni-Suef Province, Egypt, Ibrahim et al. (163) identified *C. parvum* in cattle and buffaloes as well as *C. hominis* and *C. parvum* in humans using RFLP analysis of the COWP fragments. Moreover, the sequence analysis of the gp60 gene showed the *C. parvum* IIdA20G1 subtype in animals and humans. Helmy et al. (18) demonstrated through molecular techniques that the prevalence rate of cryptosporidiosis among 165 diarrheal children in Ismailia Province was 49.1% (60.5% *C. hominis* and 38.3% *C. parvum*). Other molecular techniques such as fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) and loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP), a nucleic acid amplification method, are used for the molecular detection of *Cryptosporidium* species (164, 165).

Few studies were done on different avian species regarding the molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* (36, 43, 55, 28, 15, 24). In Algeria, the PCR analysis of the intestine showed prevalence rates of *C. meleagridis* of 34% (26/90) and 44% (25/57) in the intestines of chickens and turkeys, respectively (24). However, *C. parvum* DNA was detected in feces in 86% of the chickens in Brazil using PCR (15). In Germany, Helmy et al. (20) demonstrated that the PCR analysis of chickens and turkeys’ fecal specimens revealed an overall 7.0% prevalence rate of *C. parvum*, while in China, the prevalence was 10% in 90-day-old broiler chickens (25).

### Zoonotic importance

*Cryptosporidium* species in humans are regarded as one of the most infectious zoonotic protozoan parasites since 1976 during an outbreak of cryptosporidiosis in the United States due to contamination of water (166). The different routes of cryptosporidiosis transmission to humans are listed in Table 2.

Humans can be infected with cryptosporidiosis predominantly through the consumption of contaminated food or water with infective oocysts or through direct contact with infected animals (167-169). Amer et al. (19) found that the dominant genotypes IId and IId of *C. parvum* in Egyptians which were similar to those in contact calves suggests calves can be potential reservoirs of zoonotic cryptosporidiosis. Some outbreaks have been reported among veterinary students as a result of contact with animals (168-171). Birds are considered an important source of infection as they are mechanical disseminators and shedders of oocysts for long distances in the environment (172, 54, 173, 93, 55, 174, 20). Moreover, infection of pigeon handlers with *C. meleagridis* in China has been reported (74). Workers in farms that handle birds can contaminate water, feed, or litter in the poultry houses with *Cryptosporidium* oocysts of mammalian/human origin (37). Pet birds are also regarded as an important source of infection...
to humans (87), but the literature related to this point is very rare. Traveling, living in villages, drinking underground water (lakes, etc.), and contact with animals are risk factors associated with human cryptosporidiosis (18, 175).

Individuals can get infection of the gastrointestinal tract through different Cryptosporidium species. Cryptosporidiosis can affect immunocompetent HIV-infected individuals, children, and healthy persons, causing asymptomatic carrier status or severe lethal diarrhea (176). Previously, *C. parvum* in ruminants was the only cause of human cryptosporidiosis for many years (177), while *C. hominis* was not recognized as a separate species till 2002 (178). Nowadays, both *C. parvum* and *C. hominis* cause more than 90% of human infection, while other species or genotypes of animal origin can cause sporadic infection. Meanwhile, *C. meleagridis* is the only avian species with public health concern and causes zoonotic infection of humans (179, 25, 26). Xiao (180) stated that *C. meleagridis* is the third most common species causing a serious public health hazard in humans as it has a wider host spectrum. Two subtypes of *C. meleagridis* detected in AIDS patients were shared by chicken, ducks, and pigeons in the same location in Peru (25, 26). *C. meleagridis* was reported to be similar to *C. parvum* as both were responsible for 10%-20% of human cryptosporidiosis (181-184). In Bangladesh, in urban regions, the prevalence rate of *C. meleagridis* was 13%, which was more than *C. parvum* with a prevalence rate of 2% in children without diarrhea. However, in rural regions, the prevalence rates of *C. meleagridis* and *C. parvum* were 90% and 4%, respectively, causing subclinical cryptosporidiosis (185). Stensvold et al. (186) and Wang et al. (26) demonstrated that the phylogenetic analysis of multiple loci of *C. meleagridis* isolates showed that these isolates may be related to those in humans, and that constitutes evidence of human infection by *C. meleagridis*. Furthermore, in Sweden, *C. meleagridis* isolates of layer and broiler chickens were found to be identical in nucleotide sequences (18S rRNA and HSP-70 genes) to isolates of human origin (91). Perhaps, this may be due to the anthropozoonotic nature of cryptosporidiosis as it is transmitted from chickens kept in households to the person in contact (187). It is important to note that a reverse zoonotic transmission (zooanthroponosis, from humans to animals) of *C. parvum* has also been reported (188). In addition, cryptosporidiosis is regarded as a largely anthropoanthropic disease that is transmitted from person to person, especially *C. hominis* or *C. parvum* (189). Cryptosporidiosis in humans is regarded as an acute life-threatening disease especially in immunocompromised hosts (179). It is characterized by the sudden onset of clinical signs a week after infection in the form of prolonged and persistent diarrhea. Severe infection can be seen in very young, malnourished, and immunocompromised persons. It has been documented that cryptosporidiosis is a major cause of mortality in infants and children under two years of age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microscopic</th>
<th>Molecularly</th>
<th>Serologically</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Concentration techniques</td>
<td>1. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)</td>
<td>1. Antigen detection methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Sedimentation</td>
<td>2. Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)</td>
<td>a. Immunochromatographic dipstick tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Iodine-Saline wet mount</td>
<td>3. Loop Mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP)</td>
<td>b. Enzyme Immunoassays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c. Reverse passive haemagglutination test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d. Immunofluorescence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Antibody detection methods</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1:** Methods of diagnosis of cryptosporidiosis
Table 2: Transmission methods of cryptosporidiosis in humans in developing countries (190).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of infection</th>
<th>Reference(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food and water contamination</td>
<td>[168, 169]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock animals</td>
<td>[20, 167]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calves</td>
<td>[19, 170, 171, 177]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic poultry (chickens and turkeys)</td>
<td>[20, 25, 26, 37, 93, 172, 179, 187]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigeons</td>
<td>[70, 74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geese</td>
<td>[54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captive pet birds</td>
<td>[55, 87]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free range birds (mallard duck, graylag goose, common merganser, mute swan, grey heron, white stork, carrion crow, and rook)</td>
<td>[93]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquatic birds (ducks, geese, coots, and cormorants)</td>
<td>[174]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Egypt, the prevalence rate of Cryptosporidium infection varies according to the locality. For example, Gabr et al. (191) demonstrated that Cryptosporidium infection was prevalent in diarrheic persons in Minia Province, as they showed that the overall prevalence rate of infection in 300 stained fecal samples was 44.7%. However, a previous study conducted by Gabr et al. (146) found that the prevalence rate was 61%. In immunocompetent and immunosuppressed children in Minia Province, the prevalence rates of Cryptosporidium infection were 42.2% and 60.2%, respectively (192). In another study conducted in Ismailia Province, the overall prevalence rate of Cryptosporidium species in humans was 49.1%, of which 60.5% were C. hominis, 38.2% were C. parvum, and 1.2% were C. parvum and C. bovis (193). In other provinces of Egypt, the prevalence rates were 23.5% in Abou El-Rish Hospital using nanogold-beads-based ELISA (194), 31.1% in Greater Cairo after staining of stool samples (195), 19.5% in Benha using microscopical and immunological techniques (196), 15% in Zagazig among chronic renal failure patients after conducting microscopical staining examination (197), and 33.3% in Ismailia among children (198). Moreover, ELISA results revealed that the incidences of Cryptosporidium were 37.7% and 91% in immunodeficient children and adult patients with cancer, respectively (199). In addition, the study of Antonios et al. (200) revealed that the prevalence rate of Cryptosporidium species among immunocompromised Egyptians was 33.3%.

The differences in the infection rates may depend on the immune status of individuals, age of the host, environmental habitats, season, sample size, and the virulence of the parasite strain (201).

Prevention and control

Elimination of Cryptosporidium infection through the destruction of the parasite is difficult perhaps due to the resistant and persistent nature of the oocysts as well as the wide distribution of the infection (202). Household hygiene practices are recommended to prevent transmission of the different Cryptosporidium species causing infection with cryptosporidiosis (203). Hygienic measures include regular and thorough cleaning and disinfection of birds’ drinkers, feeders, cages, and premises, in addition to keeping separate cloths during contact with birds and washing hands with disinfectants before and after contact with the birds (67, 9, 135). A concentration of 6% or 7.5% hydrogen peroxide, chlorine dioxide, ozone, and ultraviolet light have been found to inactivate oocysts (204). Moreover, aluminum sulfate, iron sulfate, and iron chloride can coagulate oocysts present in water (205). In addition, reverse osmosis, filtration, and electronic/radiation methods have been used to counteract oocysts (206, 207). Halofuginone showed variable efficiency against cryptosporidiosis in animals (208, 23, 209). Lately, Hassan et al. (210) demonstrated the effect of silver nanoparticles as a water disinfectant against C. parvum, as oocysts showed considerable resistance to the traditional water treatment processes. Silver nanoparticles in a concentration of 1 ppm for 30 min and 0.1 ppm for 1 hr reduced oocysts by 97.2% and 94.4%, respectively.

Until now, there is no specific treatment of cryptosporidiosis in animals and humans. However, the United States Food and Drug Adminis-
tration (FDA) licensed and approved treatment of the infection with nitazoxanide after a trial conducted on mice (211). Nitazoxanide treated diarrhea in buffaloes within three to four days and reduced the shedding of oocysts (212). In humans, this treatment is recommended especially for patients aged one year or older in good health condition and with immune status (213, 214). Treatment with other drugs such as paromomycin, spiramycin, rifaximin, and azithromycin is unsatisfactory or inconsistent (215). Nutritional and supportive therapy is very important for complete recovery. Oral fluids and electrolyte replacement using sodium, potassium, bicarbonate, and glucose as well as starch-based oral rehydration solutions can provide calories with lower osmolality, which can help in restoring mucosal function, enhance immune responses, and help rehabilitate the intestinal mucosal barrier following mucosal injury.

Due to the development of drug resistance, there is a demand to search for alternatives to control cryptosporidiosis. Some studies were conducted to evaluate the effect of using different herbs and their extracts on controlling cryptosporidiosis (216). Oil extracts can be effective in the complete elimination of oocyst shedding on the ninth day postinfection (217). The anti-cryptosporidiosis effects of pine-bark, garlic, onion, cinnamon, blueberry, and curcumin extracts showed successful results (218-222, 212). In chickens, Wahba et al. (219) demonstrated that garlic extract induced a mild reduction in C. baileyi oocyst shedding in experimentally infected birds, but there was a significant difference with control non-treated birds. However, both garlic and nitazoxanide completely eradicated Cryptosporidium oocyst shedding in the treated buffaloes (212). Moreover, black seeds or black cumin (Nigella sativa) can be used for the treatment of C. parvum in experimentally infected calves (223). Zaki and El-Amir (224) stated that phenyl vinyl sulfone (cysteine protease inhibitor) with black seeds altered Cryptosporidium oocyst shedding compared with paromomycin treatment in mice. Another recent Egyptian study conducted by Sadek et al. (225) showed that both garlic and black seed extracts were greatly effective in reducing Cryptosporidium oocyst excretions in mice (75.4%) compared with treatment with nitazoxanide. Interestingly, after the treatment of cryptosporidiosis HIV patients with high doses of garlic, some patients showed recovery from chronic diarrhea and complete healing (226).

In conclusion, cryptosporidiosis is considered an important disease in animals and birds as it induces severe economic loses, in addition to the public health significance of the disease in humans. Therefore, several studies, especially in developing countries, should be conducted on cryptosporidiosis infection in various hosts and its relation with humans.
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Avian cryptosporidiosis: a significant parasitic disease of public health hazard

W. A. A. El-Ghany

Izvleček: Kriptosporidioza je ena najpomembnejših zoonotskih parazitskih bolezni, ki prizadene številne gostiteljske vrste. Bolezen je razširjena po vsem svetu. Vrste Cryptosporidium lahko prizadenejo različne ptičje gostitelje in povzročijo veliko gospodarsko škodo. Resnost simptomov kriptosporidioze pri ptičih je različna, od asimptomatične bolezni do hudih črevesnih in/ali dihalnih znakov z visoko smrtnostjo. Diagnoza okužbe s parazitom Cryptosporidium temelji predvsem na mikroskopskem odkrivanju oocist, seroloških metodah ali molekularnih tehnikah za identifikacijo različnih vrst povzročitelja. Ljudje in živali so zelo dovzetni za okužbo z različnimi vrstami Cryptosporidium, ki so posledica zaužitja kontaminirane hrane ali vode z oocistami ali neposrednega stika z okuženimi gostitelji. Za preprečevanje in nadzor bolezni pri okuženih živalih, pticah in ljudi so bile uporabljene različne strategije. Namen tega preglednega članka je bil razjasniti vrste povzročiteljev in razširjenost kriptosporidioze ptič, dovzetnost za okužbo in način prenosa ter klinično sliko, laboratorijsko diagnostiko, zoonotski pomen in strategije preprečevanja in nadzora bolezni.

Ključne besede: ptičji; Cryptosporidium; diagnoza; človeški