EFFECT OF USING CHICORY ROOTS POWDER AS A FAT REPLACER ON BEEF BURGER QUALITY

Tamer El Zeny, Rowida Y. Essa*, Badia A. Bisar, S. M. Metwalli

Food Technology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafrelsheikh University, Egypt

*Corresponding author, E-mail: rowida.eisa@agr.kfs.edu.eg

Abstract: Chicory roots powder is considered as a rich source of fiber and polyphones. It can be interfered in the formation of many functional foods that play an important role in maintaining human health from many diseases such as obesity and diabetes. So, this research was carried out to determine the chemical composition of the chicory roots and to evaluate assess the quality properties of reduced- fat burger as influenced with chicory roots powder. The prepared burger samples contained chicory roots powder as fat replacer with substitution ratio of 25, 50 and 75% of animal fat. Cooking quality and sensory evaluation were measured in burger samples. The results revealed that adding Chicory roots powder lead to an improvement in burger nutritional value and cooking properties. In burger contained chicory roots powder, there was an increment in cooking yield meanwhile, shrinkage and feeder number were decreased. Adding chicory roots powder to burger as a fat replacer does not cause any negative effects on its sensory properties.

Key words: fat replacer; burger; dietary fiber

Introduction

Consumers now have good knowledge about their health and food. Therefore, healthy processed meat product must have low fat, cholesterol, and calories (1). Burger is considered as one of the highest popularity food in Egypt and all over the world (2). It has a high acceptability and consuming rates because it is a cheap quick meal (3). On the other hand, it has some harmful effect due to high content of saturated acids (20-30%) (4). Fat has an important role in burger increasing emulsion stability of meats as well as water holding capacity; decreasing loss during cooking process and improving organoleptic characteristics (5). However, the presence of fats in meat products leads to a high content of cholesterol and saturated fatty acids (6). The increment of saturated fats intake levels causes harmful diseases such as, cardiovascular disease, stroke, obesity and cancer (7). Obesity is one of the most serious diseases in the world, especially as it is linked to other diseases such as heart disease and diabetes (8).

According to the American Heart Association (2002), fat should be shared with about 15% to 30% of the total calories taken daily. WHO also recommended that saturated fat should not exceed 10% of daily supplemented calories (9, 11).

Therefore, many studies have been conducted to produce healthy meat products and reducing the proportion of fat. On the other hand, it must be borne in mind that this may lead to some problems with the acceptance of the prod-

Received: January 2019

Accepted for publication: February 2019

uct, because fat is a main component that effects on meat product properties such as sensory attributes, texture and flavor (12, 13). Reducing the proportion of fat by adding substances that are based on non-meat proteins, carbohydrate and dietary fiber is a scientific way to solve this problem (14).

Dietary fiber is a part of plant food that is not fully digested by digestive enzymes, and it is very important for human health. On the other hand, human consume dietary fiber less than recommended by the WHO (23–38 g/day) (15, 16). In processed foods, part of the fat is replaced by dietary fiber (17). In meat processing, crude fiber has good applications in minimizing formula cost cooking yield enhancement, and texture improvement (18). Several studies have shown the importance of fiber to human health, it helps to reduce cholesterol, also reduce high blood pressure and reduce the chances of colon cancer as well as obesity (19, 20).

Cichorium intybus L. (chicory) is a Mediterranean plant species belonging to the Asteraceae family. Cichorieae tribe includes approximately hundred genera and many hundreds species of which some genera are used as salad vegetables (21). On the other hand, the word 'Chicory'is likely to be derived from the Egyptian word 'Ctchorium'. All parts of this plant are pharmacologically useful due to the presence of a number of medicinally and nutritionally important compounds such as inulin, flavonoids, caffeic acid derivatives, terpenoids, sesquiterpene, vitamins, steroids oils, lactones, volatile compounds, and coumarins, It possesses antibacterial, antioxidant and anti-inflammatory (22).

There is not enough information about using chicory as a fat replacer in meat products; therefore, the main aim of this study was to evaluate addition of chicory powder at different levels as fat replacer on burger quality attributes.

Materials and methods

Chicory (*Cichorium intybus*) was obtained in January, 2017 from the local field of Kafr Elshiekh governorate, Egypt. The chicory roots were free of physical damage and injury of insects and fungi infection. Beef meat and other

components used for burger preparation were obtained from local market at Kafr El-shiekh city, Egypt.

Chemicals

All chemicals and reagents used in this study were obtained from Sigma Aldrich Chemical Co. (St. Louis, M.O, USA). All other chemicals and solvents were of analytical grade.

Preparation of Chicory roots powder

The plant of chicory were transferred directly to the laboratory of food technology department, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafrelshiekh University then it was washed with tap water to removeremaining soil and other impurities. The chicory roots were cut into small pieces and dried at 40°C for 2 days in an air oven. The dried roots were crushed using a laboratory mill, then, sieved (100 meshes) and finally, the powder was stored in sealed bags at 4± 2°C (23).

Burger preparation

The beef burger was formulated to contain the following ingredients 80% red beef meat, 20% kidney fat, 18% (w/w) water (ice), 1.5% (w/w) salt, ground black pepper (0.3%), red pepper (0.2%) and cumin (0.2%) according to Aleson-Carbonell, Fernández-López (24). Aforementioned ingredients were used to prepare the control sample while 25, 50 and 75% of control fat content were replaced by chicory roots powder to prepare burger supplemented with chicory roots powder as a fat replacer.

Meat burgers weighed approximately 30 g each. The beef burgers were stewed using an electrical grill (Genwex GW-066) at 220°C (the space between heat source and the samples was 4 cm) for 8 min (4 min for each side of beef burgers).

Chemical analyses

Gross chemical composition of chicory roots and burger was analyzed according to A.O.A.C. (25).

Cooking yield Cooking weight
$$\times$$
 Raw weight \times 100

Shrinkage \times Raw diameter - Cooking diamewight \times Raw diameter \times Raw diameter \times 100

Cooking loss (%) = ((Raw weight - Cooking weight)/ Raw weight)*100

Feeder number = Moisture content %

ber = organic nonfat content %

Where, % organic non fat = 100 - (fat % + ash content + moisture %)

Sensory evaluation

Sensory evaluation of twenty panelist have been assessment burger samples for their sensory properties (taste, color, odour, texture, tenderness and overall acceptability) using a hedonic scale of 1-10 according to the method of Badr and El-Waseif (28)

Statistical analysis

General linear model of SPSS (Ver. 16.0, 2007) was used to conduct ANOVA for determination of differences between means. The probability levels of $P \le 0.01$ and $P \le 0.05$ were considered to be significant for statistical procedures. All measurements and trials were done in triplicate.

Results and discussion

Chemical composition of chicory roots powder

Moisture and protein content of chicory roots powder were 6.84±0.89 and 9.01±0.93 (Table1). On the other hand, data in Table (1) showed that, chicory roots had a high ash content (5.60%) and ether extract (1.60%), crude fiber (5.92%) and antioxidants (78.02). These results in the same trend as the results obtained previously by (29). From the previous by results obtained, one can record that chicory roots could be considered as a good source of fiber, so it can be used as an alternative ingredient to fats in many processed foods.

Chemical composition of prepared beef burger

Table (2) showed that chemical composition of the cooked burger formulated with chicory roots powder, the chemical analysis of cooked burger showed that the percentage of moisture, protein, ash, crude fiber and available carbohydrates content were increased by increasing the amounts of chicory roots powder replacement

in the burger. The increment of moisture content may be due to the capability of chicory roots powder rich with fiber to hold more water via preparation and cooking process. Meanwhile, the increment in other constituents may be a reflection of the quantity of these constituents in chicory roots.

On the other hand, the data in the same table revealed that ether extract content values in cooked burger were decreased significantly with chicory roots powder addition ($p \le 0.05$) in comparison with the control sample. Maximum ether extract content percentage was noticed in control sample while, treatment contained (CRP) with 75% of animal fat showed the minimum percent. These obtained results were in harmony with those reported by Gök, Akkaya (7), Kılınççeker and Kurt (26) and Yousefi, Zeynali (27) who stated that beef burger integrated with different types of fat replacers were highly in some constituents such as moisture, ash, protein, fiber and available carbohydrates contents and lower in fat than in the control group.

Burger cooking properties

Moreover, data in Table (3) revealed that burger samples which replaced by chicory roots have cooking loss percentages lower than control. This decrement is due to the ability of chicory roots fiber to hold a large amount of water. There was a significant decrement in the loss via cooking process as a function of the increment in fat substitution level with chicory roots. Also, the results declared that adding chicory roots showed a positive influence on burger cooking yield. These results are in agreement with Kassem and Emara (30) and Namir, Siliha (31) who stated that there was a decrement in the cooking loss values of low fat burger when the levels of high fiber substances was increased.

Preventing shrinkage considered as one of the most important factors to maintain the quality levels of burgers because some consumers related to shrinkage and adding a high amount of water. Control beef burger sample had a high percentage of shrinkage after cooking process in a comparison with burger integrated with chicory roots powder. These results are in conformity with the finding stated by Namir, Siliha (31).

Feeder number is applied to assess the meat products physical characteristics. Feeder number was 0.70 for control samples, which decreased gradually with the increment of chicory roots powder level. It was for all laboratory samples was lower than 4.0. as stated by Pearson (32) who recorded that feeder number in good products should be lower than 4.0.

Sensory evaluation

In the present study, the sensory evaluation of cooked burgers containing Chicory roots powder with 25%, 50% and 75% of control sample fat were recorded in Table (4).

Concerning the data in Table (4), one can be noticed that there were a slightly difference be-

tween control sample and that contained chicory roots powder with percentages of 25%, 50% and 75% of animal fat for all sensory characteristics. While the sensory scores of burger contained date chicory roots powder with ratio of 75% of fat were low compared with control sample, however they were in the acceptable limits (more than 6).

Conclusion

Chicory roots powder is considered as a rich source of fiber so that it can be used as fat replace in beef burger. Also, this study revealed that substituting 75% of animal fat in burger with chicory roots powder showed manufacture no negative effects on physical and cooking quality of processed burger.

Table 1: Gross chemical composition of chicory roots powder (% on dry weight basis)

Component	Chicory roots powder
Moisture (%)	6.84±0.89
Protein (%)	9.01 ± 0.93
Ash (%)	5.60
Ether extract (%)	1.60
Crude fiber (%)	5.92

Table 2: Proximate chemical composition of burger with different concentrations of chicory roots powder as a fat replacer (on dry weight basis)

Treatments	Cooked burger			
	Control	CRP	CRP	CRP
		25% of	50% of	75% of
Component%		fat	fat	fat
Moisture	32.09 ^b	30.15 ^d	31.33 ^c	35.12 ^a
Crude protein	26.17 ^d	28.00^{c}	28.27 ^b	28.52 ^a
Ether extract	20.01^{a}	16.54 ^b	11.97 ^c	7.63^{d}
Ash	1.97^{c}	1.99 ^c	2.10^{b}	2.19^{a}
Crude fiber	1.23 ^d	2.98^{c}	3.78^{b}	4.21 ^a
available carbohydrates	50.62°	50.49 ^{cd}	53.88 ^b	57.45 ^a

CRP means chicory roots powder, Values followed by the same letter in the same column are not significantly different at $P \le 0.05$.

Table 3: Cooking properties of burger with different concentrations of chicory roots powder as a fat replacer

Treatments	Control	CRP 25% of	CRP	CRP 75% of
Properties		fat	50% of fat	fat
Cooking yield (%)	47.14 ^d	53.08 ^c	57.82 ^b	61.90 ^a
Cooking loss (%)	52.46 ^a	46.92 ^b	42.18 ^c	37.70^{d}
Shrinkage (%)	25.15^{a}	21.86 ^b	18.89 ^c	15.89 ^d
Feder number	0.70^{a}	0.59^{b}	0.57^{b}	0.64^{b}

CRP means chicory roots powder

Values followed by the same letter in the same row are not significantly different at $P \le 0.05$.

Table 4: Effect of Chicory roots powder percentage as a fat replacer on the sensory properties of burger*

Treatments	Control	CRP 25% of fat	CRP	CRP 75% of fat
Sensory			50% of fat	
Taste	8.0^{a}	7.23 ^b	7.05 ^b	6.99 ^{bc}
Colour	8.04^{a}	$7.20^{\rm b}$	7.11 ^c	6.95^{c}
Odour	8.13 ^a	7.14^{b}	7.09^{c}	$6.96^{\rm cd}$
Texture	8.12 ^a	7.10^{b}	7.07^{c}	6.88^{d}
Tenderness	8.21a	7.05^{b}	7.01^{b}	6.70°
Total acceptability	8.1^{a}	7.14^{b}	7.06^{c}	6.89^{d}

^{*}All data are the mean \pm SD of twenty replicates. Mean followed by different letters in the same row differs significantly (P \leq 0.05)

References

- 1. Pinero M, Parra K, Huerta-Leidenz N, De Moreno LA, Ferrer M, Araujo S, Barboza Y. Effect of oat's soluble fibre (β-glucan) as a fat replacer on physical, chemical, microbiological and sensory properties of low-fat beef patties. Meat science 2008; 80(3): 675–80.
- 2. Eldemery ME. Effect orange albedo as a new source of dietary fiber on characteristics of beef burger. In The 5th Arab and 2nd International Annual Scientific Conference on: Recent Trends of Developing Institutional and Academic Performance in Higher Specific Education Institutions in Egypt and Arab World. Mansoura University—Egypt 2010.
- 3. Colmenero FJ. Relevant factors in strategies for fat reduction in meat products. Trends in Food Science & Technology 2000; 11(2): 56–66.
- 4. Selani MM, Shirado GA, Margiotta GB, Rasera ML, Marabesi AC, Piedade SM, Carmen JC, Solange GC. Pineapple by-product and canola oil as partial fat replacers in low-fat beef burger: Effects on oxidative stability, cholesterol content and fatty acid profile. Meat science 2016; 115: 9–15.
- 5. Rather SA, Masoodi F, Akhter R, Gani A, Wani S, Malik A. Xanthan gum as a fat replacer in goshtaba-a traditional meat product of India: effects on quality and oxidative stability. Journal of food science and technology 2015; 52(12): 8104–12.

- 6. Pappa I, Bloukas J, Arvanitoyannis I. Optimization of salt, olive oil and pectin level for low-fat frankfurters produced by replacing pork backfat with olive oil. Meat Science 2000; 56(1): 81–8.
- 7. Gök V, Akkaya L, Obuz E, Bulut S. Effect of ground poppy seed as a fat replacer on meat burgers. Meat science 2011; 89(4): 400—4.
- 8. Cobb LK, Appel LJ, Franco M, Jones-Smith JC, Nur A, Anderson CA. The relationship of the local food environment with obesity: a systematic review of methods, study quality, and results. Obesity 2015; 23(7): 1331–44.
- 9. Missmer SA, Chavarro JE, Malspeis S, Bertone-Johnson ER, Hornstein MD, Spiegelman D, Robert LB, Walter CW, Susan EH. A prospective study of dietary fat consumption and endometriosis risk. Human Reproduction 2010; 25(6): 1528–35.
- 10. Kratz M, Baars T, Guyenet S. The relationship between high-fat dairy consumption and obesity, cardiovascular, and metabolic disease. European journal of nutrition 2013; 52(1): 1–24.
- 11. Sullivan EL, Nousen EK, Chamlou KA. Maternal high fat diet consumption during the perinatal period programs offspring behavior. Physiology & behavior 2014; 123: 236–42.
- 12. Weiss J, Gibis M, Schuh V, Salminen H. Advances in ingredient and processing systems for meat and meat products. Meat science 2010; 86(1): 196–213.

- 13. Youssef M, Barbut S. Fat reduction in comminuted meat products-effects of beef fat, regular and pre-emulsified canola oil. Meat Science 2011; 87(4): 356–60.
- 14. Brewer MS. Reducing the fat content in ground beef without sacrificing quality: A review. Meat Science 2012; 91(4): 385–95.
- 15. Ng S, Tan C, Lai O, Long K, Mirhosseini H. Extraction and characterization of dietary fiber from coconut residue. J Food Agric Environ 2010; 8(2): 172–7.
- 16. McGill R, Anwar E, Orton L, Bromley H, Lloyd-Williams F, O'Flaherty M, Taylor-Robinson D, Guzman-Castillo M, Gillespie D, Moreira P, Allen K. Are interventions to promote healthy eating equally effective for all? Systematic review of socioeconomic inequalities in impact. BMC public health 2015; 15(1): 457.
- 17. Verma AK, Banerjee R. Dietary fibre as functional ingredient in meat products: a novel approach for healthy living—a review. Journal of food science and technology 2010; 47(3): 247–57.
- 18. Choe JH, Kim HY, Lee J-M, Kim YJ, Kim CJ. Quality of frankfurter-type sausages with added pig skin and wheat fiber mixture as fat replacers. Meat science 2013; 93(4): 849–54.
- 19. Slavin J. Fiber and prebiotics: mechanisms and health benefits. Nutrients 2013; 5(4): 1417—35.
- 20. Essa, RY and Elsebaie EM. Effect of using date pits powder as a fat replacer and anti-oxidative agent on beef burger quality. Food and Dairy Sci., Mansoura University 2018; 9 (2): 91 –6.
- 21. Carazzone C, Mascherpa D, Gazzani G, Papetti A. Identification of phenolic constituents in red chicory salads (Cichorium intybus) by high-performance liquid chromatography with diode array detection and electrospray ionisation tandem mass spectrometry. Food Chemistry 2013; 138(2-3): 1062–71.
- 22. Minaiyan M, Ghannadi AR, Mahzouni P, Abed AR. Preventive effect of Cichorium intybus L. two extracts on cerulein-induced acute pancreatitis in mice. International journal of preventive medicine 2012; 3(5): 351–7.

- 23. Bouaziz MA, Amara WB, Attia H, Blecker C, Besbes S. Effect of the addition of defatted date seeds on wheat dough performance and bread quality. Journal of texture studies 2010; 41(4): 511–31.
- 24. Aleson-Carbonell L, Fernández-López J, Pérez-Alvarez J, Kuri V. Characteristics of beef burger as influenced by various types of lemon albedo. Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies 2005; 6(2): 247–55.
- 25. A.O.A.C. Association of Official of Analytical Chemists, Official Methods of Analysis. 18th Ed., Pub. By the A.O.A.C.: Arlington, Virginia, 2220 USA; 2010.
- 26. Kılınççeker O, Kurt Ş. Effects of inulin, carrot and cellulose fibres on the properties of raw and fried chicken meatballs. South African Journal of Animal Science 2018; 48(1).
- 27. Yousefi N, Zeynali F, Alizadeh M. Optimization of low-fat meat hamburger formulation containing quince seed gum using response surface methodology. Journal of food science and technology 2018; 55(2): 598–4.
- 28. Badr S, El-Waseif M. Influence of Caper (*Capparis spinosa L*) Seeds Powder Addition as Source of Bioactive Phytochemicals on Quality Attributes and Shelf Life Extension of Beef Burger Patties. Middle East Journal 2017; 6(4): 1243–58.
- 29. Mona IM, Wafaa AA, Elgindy A. Chemical and technological studies on Chicory (*Cichorium Intybus L*) and its applications in some functional food. Journal of Advanced Agricultural Research 2009; 14(3): 735–42.
- 30. Kassem MG, Emara M. Quality and acceptability of value-added beef burger. World Journal of Dairy and Food Sciences 2010; 5(1): 14–20.
- 31. Namir M, Siliha H, Ramadan MF. Fiber pectin from tomato pomace: characteristics, functional properties and application in low-fat beef burger. Journal of Food Measurement and Characterization 2015; 9(3): 305–12.
- 32. Pearson D. The chemical analysis of Food National College of Food Technology. University Of Reading, Weybridge Surry T and A Churchill 1970.